arXiv:1601.01931v1 [math.GR] 8 Jan 2016

Radial parts of Haar measures and probability distributions on the space of rational matrix-valued functions

Yu.A.Neretin[1]

Consider the space $\mathcal{C}$ of conjugacy classes of a unitary group $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ with respect to a smaller unitary group $\mathrm{U}(m)$. It is known that for any element of the space $\mathcal{C}$ we can assign canonically a matrix-valued rational function on the Riemann sphere (a Livshits characteristic function). In the paper we write an explicit expression for the natural measure on $\mathcal{C}$ obtained as the pushforward of the Haar measure of the group $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ in the terms of characteristic functions.

1 The statement

1.1. The purpose of the paper. There is a wide literature (see, e.g., [19], [21], [22], [7] and further references in these works) on Gaussian random functions, the topic arises at least to the Payley–Wiener book [18], Chapter 10. Relatively recently M. Krishnapur [10] started investigation of random matrix-valued holomorphic functions.

In the present paper we consider measures on the space of rational matrix-valued functions on the Riemann sphere. The origin of the question under a discussion is the following. To be definite, consider an unitary group $\mathrm{U}(n)$. The distribution of eigenvalues of unitary matrices is a measure on the set of n-point subsets on the circle with a density of the form $C\cdot\prod_{k<l}|z_k-z_l|^2$ (The Hermann Weyl formula, see, e.g., [8], formula (3.2.2), or [4], Theorem 11.2.1). There exists a zoo of similar formulas, a usual corresponding term is 'radial parts of Haar measures on symmetric spaces'. Namely, we consider a Riemannian symmetric space G/K, the space of double cosets $K\backslash G/K$, and the pushforward of the Haar measure under the map $G\to K\setminus G/K$ (in the example with unitary group, we have $G=\mathrm{U}(n)\times\mathrm{U}(n)$, and K is the diagonal subgroup $\mathrm{U}(n)$), general formulas are contained in [6], Propositions X.1.17, X.1.19.

This important topic has numerous applications and continuations. However, its extensions to other pairs of groups and subgroups are almost absent[2] $G\supset K$. One of obstacles for such extensions are difficulties related to descriptions of double coset and conjugacy classes spaces.

In one case such description is known during a long time. Namely, for conjugacy classes of a unitary group $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ with respect to a smaller subgroup $\mathrm{U}(m)$ the solution is given in the terms of Livshits characteristic functions (see below). In [11] there was proposed a counterpart of characteristic functions for

[1]The research was carried out at the IITP RAS at the expense of the Russian Foundation for Sciences (project 14-50-00150).

[2]The case when $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{SU}(2)$, and $K=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is the diagonal subgroup, is examined in [12].

double cosets of $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ by $\mathrm{O}(m)$, see also [17]. In [13], [15] characteristic functions were constructed for a wide class pairs group–subgroup. Since characteristic functions from [13] were originated from representation theory, see [14], the question about radial parts of Haar measures arises naturally.

In the present paper we get an explicit formula for radial part of Haar measure in the case of conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ by $\mathrm{U}(m)$.

1.2. Livshits characteristic function. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ be a block matrix of size $(n+m)\times(n+m)$. The *characteristic function* of the matrix g (another term is a *transfer-function*) is a function on $\mathbb{C}$ defined by the formula

$$\chi(\lambda) := \alpha + \lambda\beta(1-\lambda\delta)^{-1}\gamma. \tag{1.1}$$

This function takes values in the space of matrices of size $n\times n$. It is easy to see that the function does not changes under conjugations of the matrix g by matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}$. I other words, χ is an invariant of conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ by $\mathrm{U}(m)$.

It is known that any rational matrix-valued function that has not a singularity at $\lambda = 0$, is a characteristic function of a matrix. On a reconstruction of the matrix (more precisely, of the conjugacy class) g from its characteristic function, see, e.g., [3], Chapter 19. This reconstruction is not unique[3].

Now let a matrix g be unitary. Then the characteristic function satisfies the following properties:

1) For $|\lambda| = 1$ values of $\chi(\lambda)$ are unitary matrices[4].

2) For $|\lambda| < 1$ we have[5] $\|\chi(\lambda)\| \leqslant 1$; by the Riemann–Schwarz reflection principle, for $|\lambda| > 1$ we have $\|\chi(\lambda)^{-1}\| \leqslant 1$.

3) Represent $\det\chi(\lambda)$ as an irreducible fraction, $\frac{u(\lambda)}{v(\lambda)}$. It is easy to show that degrees of polynomials $u(\lambda)$, $v(\lambda)$ are $\leqslant m$. Indeed this determinant can be written in the form[6]

$$\det\chi(\lambda) = \frac{\det\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\lambda\beta \\ \gamma & 1-\lambda\delta \end{pmatrix}}{\det(1-\lambda\delta)}. \tag{1.2}$$

Conversely, any rational function on $\mathbb{C}$ taking values in the space of $n\times n$-matrices, satisfying the above-listed properties, is a characteristic function of a certain unitary matrix of size $n+m$. *Denote the set of such functions by* $\mathcal{R}_n(m)$.

[3]However a matrix of a minimal possible size with a given characteristic function is unique up to a conjugation, see the textbook Dym [3], Chapter 19. Moreover an element of a categorical quotient of $\mathrm{GL}(n+m,\mathbb{C})$ by $\mathrm{GL}(m,\mathbb{C})$ can be reconstructed from the characteristic function in a unique way (see [16]).

[4]A proof of this and the next statement are contained in Remark in .2.1.

[5]Thus characteristic functions are matrix-valued analogs of *interior functions*, which are well-known in classical theory of analytic functions, see, e.g., [5]. The theory of matrix-valued interior functions was developed in [20]. On interior functions of matrix argument, see [13].

[6]For this, it is sufficient to apply the usual formula for a determinant of a block matrix, see below (2.17).

Next, consider block matrices of size $n+m = n+(m_1+m_2)$ having the structure

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ \gamma & \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varkappa \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

It is easy to see that a characteristic function does not depend on the block $\varkappa$, on the other hand eigenvalues of the matrix $\varkappa$ are invariants of a conjugacy class. It is easy to show that any matrix $g \in \mathrm{U}(n+m)$ by conjugations by elements of $\mathrm{U}(m)$ can be reduced to the form (1.3), where $\|\delta\| < 1$.

Finally, *spectral data determining a conjugacy class of* $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ *by* $\mathrm{U}(m)$ *looks as follows: the characteristic function and the set of eigenvalues of the matrix* δ *that are contained in the circle* $|\lambda| = 1$.

Remark. I do not know a source of literature containing simple proofs of statements about *unitary* matrices in a (very small) degree of generality that is necessary for us. For arbitrary matrices a short exposition is contained in [3], Chapter 19, see also [1]. The unitary case requires additional arguments. The statements that are necessary for us is an extremely particular case of [2], Theorem 5.1. A restoring of a unitary matrix from a characteristic function also can be done by the Potapov method [20], using expansion of a rational matrix-valued inner function in a Blaschke product. ⊠

Anyway, for elements of $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ in a general position, the block δ is purely contractive and conjugacy classes are determined by their characteristic functions. Thus we have the map

$$\mathrm{U}(n+m) \to \mathcal{R}_n(m),$$

and we wish to evaluate the image of the Haar measure under this map. The answer will be presented in terms of the set of λ, where $\chi(\lambda)$ has an eigenvalue -1, and the corresponding eigenvectors.

1.3. The density of the measure. Consider an element of the space $\mathcal{R}_n(m)$ in a general position (i.e., an element of a set of full measure, which will be detaches step-by-step). Consider the set of all points $t_k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that a matrix $\chi(t_k)$ has an eigenvalue -1. This set is contained in the circle [7] $|\lambda| = 1$. In a general position the number of such points is m. Let us order the points t_k in some way, for instance, let us assume that

$$2\pi > \arg t_1 > \cdots > \arg t_n > 0.$$

In a general position there is a unique corresponding eigenvector c_k,

$$\chi(t_k)c_k = -c_k, \qquad c_k = (c_k^1, \dots, c_k^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

To fix coordinates on $\mathcal{R}_n(m)$, we normalize c_k from the condition

$$\langle \chi'(t_k)\, c_k, c_k \rangle = -t_k^{-1}.$$

[7] For elements of $\mathrm{U}(n+m)$ in a general position we have $\|\alpha\| < 1$. But $\alpha = \chi(0)$. On the other hand, on the unit circle $\|\chi(\lambda)\| = 1$. Applying the maximum principle to linear functionals on the space of matrices we easily get $\|\chi(\lambda)\| < 1$ inside the circle.

Next, we fix a phase of each vector c_k by the assumption $c_k^1 \geqslant 0$.

Lemma 1.1 *The properties mentioned above really hold in a general position. In a general position, the numbers t_k, the vectors c_k, and the unitary matrix*

$$U := \chi(-1)$$

uniquely determine a characteristic function.

Compose a matrix C of size $n \times m$ of vector-columns c_k. Compose a diagonal matrix T of numbers t_j.

Theorem 1.2 *The image of probabilistic Haar measure under the map*

$$\mathrm{U}(n+m) \to \mathcal{R}_n(m)$$

has the form

$$\theta_{n,m} \cdot \bigl|\det(1+T+C^*(1+U)C)\bigr|^{-2n-2m} \bigl|\det(1+U)\bigr|^{2m} \times \\ \times \prod_{k=1}^{m} |1+t_k|^{2m+2n} \prod_{1 \leqslant k < l \leqslant n} |t_k - t_l|^2 \times \\ \times d\sigma_n(U) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{m} c_k^1 \, dc_k^1 \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{j=2}^{n} d\operatorname{Re} c_k^j \, d\operatorname{Im} c_k^j \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dt_k}{it_k}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $d\sigma_n(U)$ is the Haar measure on $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and

$$\theta_{n,m} = 2^{-m} \pi^{-mn} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m+n-1} j!}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} j! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} j!}. \quad (1.5)$$

In view of this statement, we mention two works on random analytic functions.

First, measures on the space of scalar meromorphic functions in terms of distributions of poles and residues were considered by Wigner [23], 1952. This is similar to the language of our Theorem 1.2, moreover during a calculation in Subsect.2.4 we literally use distributions of poles and residues (the main difference is the following: in Wigner paper distributions of poles and residues are determined by independent random variables, in our case this is not so). The class of meromorphic functions, which was considered in [23] can be send to the class of of (scalar-valued) interior functions by a simple transformation.

Second, a measure on the space of interior functions was considered by Katsnelson in [9], 2002, it seems (at least from the first sight) that his object is not similar to our considerations.

I am grateful to M. Sodin, N. Makarov, S. Sodin for discussions of this topic.

2 Proof

Notation:

$\mathrm{Mat}_{k,l}$ is the space of complex matrices of size $k \times l$;

Herm_k is the space of Hermitian matrices of size k;

AHerm_k is the space of anti-Hermitian matrices ($X^* = -X$) of size k;

$\mathbb{T}^k$ is the torus, i.e. the product of k circles with the corresponding group structure;

$\mathbb{R}_+$ is the positive semi-axis.

2.1. A preparation lemma. Let T be a square matrix. Define its *Cayley transform* by the formula

$$g \mapsto H = -1 + 2(1+g)^{-1} = (1+g)^{-1}(1-g).$$

This transform is inverse to itself and send unitary matrices to anti-Hermitian matrices.

Lemma 2.1 *Consider a block matrix g of size $n+m$ and perform the following chain of manipulations:*

1) *we apply the Cayley transform and get a certain matrix H;*

2) *we take the characteristic function $\varphi(s)$ of the matrix H;*

3) *we apply the Cayley transform to $\varphi(s)$ and get a function $\psi(s)$ taking values in $\mathrm{Mat}_{n,n}$;*

4) *we consider the function $\varphi\left(\frac{t+1}{t-1}\right)$.*

Then the resulting function coincides with the characteristic function $\chi_g(t)$ of the matrix g.

First, we recall that definitions of the Cayley transform and the characteristic function can be formulated as follows.

• *Let g be a square matrix of size n. Let vectors u, $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be connected by the relation*

$$(u - v) = g(u + v). \tag{2.1}$$

Then $v = Hu$, where H is the Cayley transform of the matrix g.

• *Let g be a block matrix of size $n+m$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider the set L of all pairs q, $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$, for which there exists $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \lambda x \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

The subset $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$ obtained in such way is determined by the equation

$$q = \chi_g(\lambda)\, p$$

for all λ except poles of the characteristic function.

The first statement is evident, we simply write (2.1) in the form

$$(1-g)u = (1+g)v.$$

To verify the second statement, we write (2.2) as

$$q = \alpha p + \lambda\beta x, \qquad x = \gamma p + \lambda\delta x.$$

Eliminating x we get the desired statement.

Remark. Now we can easily derive properties 1)–2) of characteristic functions from Subsect. 1.2. Indeed, if a matrix g is unitary, then

$$\|q\|^2 + \|x\|^2 = \|p\|^2 + \|\lambda x\|^2.$$

Assuming $|\lambda| = 1$, we get $\|q\|^2 = \|p\|^2$, this implies that the matrix $\chi(\lambda)$ is unitary. If $|\lambda| < 1$, then $\|q\|^2 \geqslant \|p\|^2$, i.e., $\|\chi(\lambda)\| \leqslant 1$. It is worth noting, that a proof using directly formula (1.1) is not so easy. ⊠

Proof of the lemma. Let g be a block square matrix of size $n+m$. Then $v = Hu$ is equivalent to the equality

$$u - v = g(u + v).$$

Next, $q = \varphi(s)\, p$, if there exists a vector x such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ sx \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} q \\ x \end{pmatrix} = g \cdot \left[\begin{pmatrix} p \\ sx \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} q \\ x \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} p-q \\ (s-1)x \end{pmatrix} = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p+q \\ (s+1)x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we must apply the Cayley transform, i.e., set $q = y - z$, $p = y + z$. It is more convenient to set $q = (y-z)/2$, $p = (y+z)/2$, this does not change a result. We get

$$\begin{pmatrix} z \\ (s-1)x \end{pmatrix} = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y \\ (s+1)x \end{pmatrix},$$

recall that we consider pairs z, y, for which there exists x such that this equality holds. set $x' = (s-1)x$. If we change x by x' the condition for q, p does not change. Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} z \\ x' \end{pmatrix} = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \frac{s+1}{s-1} x' \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get the definition of the characteristic function of the matrix g at the point $\frac{s+1}{s-1}$. □

2.2. Start of proof of the theorem. The first Cayley transform. Now, we intend to watch step-by-step pushforwards of the Haar measure under the transformations described in Lemma 2.1. It is important that all our manipulations over matrices commute with the action of the group $\mathrm{U}(m)$.

The image of the probabilistic Haar measure on $\mathrm{U}(k)$ under the Cayley transform was evaluated by Hua Loo Keng. It has the form (see [8], §3.1)

$$\tau_k \det(1 - X^2)^{-k} d\dot{X}, \tag{2.3}$$

where X is the Cayley transform of a matrix g,

$$d\dot{X} := \prod_{1\leqslant k\leqslant l\leqslant n} d\operatorname{Im} x_{kl} \cdot \prod_{1\leqslant k<l\leqslant n} \prod d\operatorname{Re} x_{kl}, \tag{2.4}$$

and τ_k is a normalizing constant,

$$\tau_k = 2^{k^2-k}\pi^{-k(k+1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} j!$$

Obviously, the density of the measure can be written as

$$\tau_n \det(1+X)^{-k} \det(1-X)^{-k} = \tau_n \big|\det(1+X)\big|^{-2k}.$$

In our case, $k = n+m$. Representing an anti-Hermitian matrix H (i.e., the Cayley transform of the matrix g) in a block form $H = i\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix}$, we get a measure

$$\tau_{m+n} \cdot \left|\det\left[1 + i\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix}\right]\right|^{-2n-2m} d\dot{A}\, d\dot{B}\, d\dot{D},$$

where $d\dot{A}$, $d\dot{B}$, $d\dot{D}$ are the natural Lebesgue measures on the space of matrices,

$$d\dot{B} := \prod_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n} \prod_{1\leqslant l\leqslant m} d\operatorname{Re} b_{kl}\, d\operatorname{Im} b_{kl},$$

and the notations $d\dot{A}$, $d\dot{D}$ are similar (2.4).

2.3. Quotients with respect to the actions of the groups. Reduce D to the diagonal form, let $\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_m$ be the eigenvalues, let M be the diagonal form, i.e., $M = V^{-1}DV$. Denote by $\Xi_m \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ the set of all such collections. The distribution of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices is (see, e.g., [8], §3.3, or [4], Theorem 10.1.4)

$$dw^m = \frac{\pi^{m(m-1)/2}}{\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m} j!} \prod_{1\leqslant k<l\leqslant m} |\mu_k - \mu_l|^2 \prod_{k=1}^{m} d\mu_k. \tag{2.5}$$

Consider the action of the group $\mathrm{U}(m)$ on the space of Hermitian matrices $\frac{1}{i}H$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & BV \\ (BV)^* & V^{-1}DV \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.6}$$

In fact, this group acts on pairs (D, B), the matrix B can be regarded as a collection of n rows, denote them by $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$. We get an action of the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(m)$ on the collections of the form: a self-adjoint operator D and n-tuple of vectors $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$.

We can reduce D to a diagonal form. After this we preserve a freedom to conjugate in (2.6) by elements of the diagonal subgroup $\mathbb{T}^m$. In this way, we can make all coordinates of the vector

$$\beta_1 = (b_{11}, \ldots, b_{1m})$$

being real and positive. After this all coordinates of vectors $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ are fixed.

Lemma 2.2 *Consider the map*

$$\Pi : \mathrm{Herm}_m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m} \to \Xi_m \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n-1,m}, \tag{2.7}$$

which to each pair (D, B) assigns its canonical form (M, B'). Then the image of the Lebesgue measure under the map Π is

$$dw^m \cdot (2\pi)^m \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{m} b'_{1k}\, db'_{1k} \prod_{1\leqslant l\leqslant n, 2\leqslant k\leqslant n} d\operatorname{Re} b'_{kl}\, d\operatorname{Im} b'_{kl}.$$

Proof. Denote by Fl_m the space, whose points are ordered collections $\ell = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m)$ of pairwise orthogonal (complex) lines in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Obviously, Fl_m is simply a flag space). The group $\mathrm{U}(m)$ acts on this space transitively, a stabilizer of a point is isomorphic $\mathbb{T}^m$, i.e., $\mathrm{Fl}_n \simeq \mathrm{U}(m)/\mathbb{T}^m$. Since $\mathrm{U}(m)$ is compact, there is a unique $\mathrm{U}(m)$-invariant probabilistic measure on Fl_n, denote it by $d\dot{\ell}$. For any Hermitian matrix D, we assign the collection of its eigenvalues $\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_m$ and the collection of its eigenvalues $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m$. In this way, we get map

$$\mathrm{Herm}_m \to \Xi_m \times \mathrm{Fl}_m,$$

which is defined a.s. and bijective a.s. The image of the Haar measure under this map is $dw^m\, d\dot{\ell}$. This statement is equivalent to[8] formula (2.5).

Thus, the action of the group $\mathrm{U}(m)$ on

$$\mathrm{Herm}_m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m} \simeq \mathrm{Herm}_m \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^n$$

can be regarded as an action on the space

$$\Xi_m \times \mathrm{Fl}_m \times \mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^{n-1},$$

trivial on the first factor.

Consider the quotient of $\mathrm{Fl}_m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ with respect to $\mathrm{U}(m)$. Fix a point $\ell \in \mathrm{Fl}_m$, denote by $\mathbb{T}^m_\ell \subset \mathrm{U}(m)$ its stabilizer. For all ℓ the distribution of $\mathbb{T}^m_\ell$-invariants in a fiber $\mathbb{C}^m$ is the same. Therefore, on the space

$$(\mathrm{Fl}_m \times \mathbb{C}^m)/\mathrm{U}(m) \simeq (\mathbb{R}_+)^m$$

we get the same distribution of invariants,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{m} b'_{1k}\, db'_{1k}.$$

Now we can identify the following spaces by a $\mathrm{U}(m)$-equivariant measure preserving transformation

$$\mathrm{Fl}_m \times \mathbb{C}^m \simeq (\mathbb{R}_+)^m \times \mathrm{U}(m).$$

[8] Actually, proofs of formula (2.5) usually use this fact (directly or hidden).

Thus we come to the action of $\mathrm{U}(m)$ on

$$\Xi_m \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^m \times \mathrm{U}(m) \times (\mathbb{C}^m)^{n-1},$$

moreover, the action is trivial on the first two factors, on $\mathrm{U}(m)$ the group acts by right shifts, on vector-rows it acts in a natural way. Now a description of quotient becomes trivial. $\square$

In fact, we use a slightly modified version of the lemma. Consider a map

$$\pi : \Xi_m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m} \to \Xi_m \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n-1,m},$$

defined as a reduction of the first row to the canonical form using the action of the torus $\mathbb{T}^m$.

Corollary 2.3 *The image of the measure $\Xi_m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m}$ under the map π coincides with the image of the measure on $\mathrm{Herm}_m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m}$ under the map Π. In other words, the natural identification of the spaces*

$$(\mathrm{Herm}_m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m})/\mathrm{U}(m) \longleftrightarrow (\Xi_m \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m})/\mathbb{T}^m$$

is measure preserving.

We came to the following problem. Consider the space $\mathrm{Herm}_n \times \mathrm{Mat}_{n,m} \times \Xi_m$ equipped with a measure

$$\tau_{m+n} \left| \det \left(1 + i \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & M \end{pmatrix} \right) \right|^{-2n-2m} dw_m(M)\, d\dot{A}\, d\dot{B}. \tag{2.8}$$

We must watch behavior of this measure under transformations 2)-4) described in Lemma 2.1.

2.4. The taking of a characteristic function. Next, we write the characteristic function of the matrix $i \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & M \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\varphi(s) = iA - sB(1 - isM)^{-1}B^* = iA - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{s b_k b_k^*}{1 - is\mu_k}, \tag{2.9}$$

where b_k are columns of the matrix B.

We see that poles of $\varphi(s)$ are located at points $s_k = \frac{1}{i\mu_k}$, and residues at the poles are rank 1 matrices given by

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=1/i\mu_k}\, \varphi(s) = -\frac{b_k b_k^*}{\mu_k^2}.$$

Notice that $\varphi(0) = iA$, and the matrix $b_k b_k^*$ remembers the vector b_k up to a phase. Therefore, there is no need to introduce new coordinates, the matrices A, B, M can be automatically reconstructed from the function $\varphi(s)$.

Notice that in a general position all the vectors b_k are non-zero.

2.5. The second Cayley transform. Next, we take the function

$$\psi(s) = -1 + 2(1+\varphi(s))^{-1}.$$

Notice that for a pure imaginary s the matrix $\psi(s)$ is unitary (since the matrix $\varphi(s)$ is anti-Hermitian). Denote $U := \psi(0)$. Clearly,

$$iA = -1 + 2(1+U)^{-1}.$$

Next, at points $s = 1/i\mu_k$ a matrix $(1+\varphi(s))^{-1}$ is degenerate, wherefore (-1) is an eigenvalue of $\psi(s)$. Let us write Taylor expansions of functions $1+\varphi(s)$ and $(1+\varphi(s))^{-1}$ at a point $s = 1/i\mu_k$,

$$1+\varphi(s) = -\frac{b_k b_k^*}{\mu_k^2\left(s - \frac{1}{i\mu_k}\right)} + V + \dots, \qquad (1+\varphi(s))^{-1} = W + \left(s - \frac{1}{i\mu_k}\right) Y + \dots,$$

where V, W, Y are certain matrices. Then

$$(1+\varphi(s))^{-1}(1+\varphi(s)) = 1 = -\frac{Wb_k b_k^*}{\mu_k^2\left(s - \frac{1}{i\mu_k}\right)} + (WV - \mu_k^{-2} Y b_k b_k^*) + \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &Wb_k b_k^* = 0; \\ &WV - \mu_k^{-2} Y b_k b_k^* = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We have $Wb_k = 0$. Otherwise, multiplying the vector column Wb_k by a non-zero row b_k we get a nonzero matrix. Next, we write the equality of matrix elements $\langle (\dots) b_k, b_k\rangle$ for both sides of (2.10),

$$\big\langle (WV - \mu_k^{-2} Y b_k b_k^*) b_k, b_k \big\rangle = \langle b_k, b_k\rangle. \tag{2.11}$$

Notice that

$$\langle WVb_k, b_k\rangle = \langle Vb_k, W^* b_k\rangle = \langle Vb_k, 0\rangle = 0.$$

Indeed, the matrix $W = (\psi(s)+1)/2$ is normal, therefore $\ker W = \ker W^*$.

Next,

$$(Yb_k b_k^*) b_k = Yb_k (b_k^* b_k) = \langle b_k, b_k\rangle\, Yb_k.$$

Hence equation (2.11) transforms to

$$\langle Yb_k, b_k\rangle = -2\mu_k^2,$$

or, equivalently, to

$$\langle \psi'(1/i\mu_k), b_k\rangle = -\mu_k^2. \tag{2.12}$$

In particular, we see that vectors b_k can be reconstructed up to a phase from the function $\psi(s)$.

2.6. The linear fractional change of the argument. It remains to perform the last step, i.e., passing to the function

$$\chi(t) = \psi\Big(\frac{t+1}{t-1}\Big).$$

Let us define new parameters of conjugacy classes:

1) points t_k of the unit circle defined from the condition:

$$t_k := \frac{1+i\mu_k}{1-i\mu_k} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{t_k-1}{t_k+1} = i\mu_k;$$

2) a matrix $U \in \mathrm{U}(n)$,

$$U := -1 + 2(1+iA^{-1})^{-1};$$

3) vectors $c_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$c_k := \frac{1}{2} e^{i\theta_k}(1+t_k)b_k, \tag{2.13}$$

where a phase factor $e^{i\theta_k}$ is fixed by the condition $c_k^1 > 0$ (where c_k^1 is the first coordinate).

Clearly,

- $U = \chi(-1)$;
- t_k are the points, where $\chi(t)$ has an eigenvalue -1;
- c_k are normalized solutions of the equation $\chi(t_k)v_k = -v_k$.

Compose a diagonal matrix T with entries t_j; compose a matrix C of size $n \times m$ from the columns c_k.

The condition (2.12) can be written as

$$\langle \chi'(t_k)b_k, b_k\rangle = -\frac{4}{(t_k+1)^2} = -\frac{4t_k^{-1}}{|t_k+1|^2}$$

or

$$\langle \chi'(t_k)c_k, c_k\rangle = -t_k^{-1}.$$

Now we can watch what is happened with the measure (2.8) under this change. Simultaneously, we pass to quotient by the action of $\mathbb{T}^m$, recall that in Subsect.2.3 this was leaved for a future.

a) The Lebesgue measure $d\dot{B}$ after change (2.13) and passing to quotient by the action the torus transforms to

$$2^{2m(n-1)} \cdot (2\pi)^m \prod_{k=1}^{m} |1+t_k|^{-2n} \prod_{k=1}^{m} c_{1k}\, dc_{1k} \prod_{1\leqslant l\leqslant n, 2\leqslant k\leqslant n} d\operatorname{Re} c'_{kl}\; d\operatorname{Im} c'_{kl}. \tag{2.14}$$

b) The measure dw^m, see (2.5), transforms to

$$2^{n(n-1)/2}(2\pi)^m \cdot 2^{m(m+1)} \prod_{k=1}^{m} |1+t_k|^{-2m} \prod_{1\leqslant k<l\leqslant m} |t_k - t_l|^2 \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{dt_k}{it_k}. \tag{2.15}$$

c) It remains to examine the factor

$$\tau_{m+n}\left|\det\left(1+i\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ B^* & M\end{pmatrix}\right)\right|^{-2n-2m} d\dot{A}.$$

First, we transform it to the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{m+n}\left|\det\left(1+i\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ B^* & M\end{pmatrix}\right)\right|^{-2n-2m} d\dot{A} = \\ = \frac{\tau_{m+n}}{\tau_n}\left|\det\left(1+i\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ B^* & M\end{pmatrix}\right)\right|^{-2n-2m} |\det(1+iA)|^{2n}\times \\ \times\,\tau_n|\det(1+iA)|^{-2n} d\dot{A} = \\ = \frac{\tau_{m+n}}{\tau_n}\left|\det\left(1+i\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ B^* & M\end{pmatrix}\right)\right|^{-2n-2m} |\det(1+iA)|^{2n}\, d\sigma_n(U), \quad (2.16)\end{aligned}$$

here we applied the Hua formula (2.3) for the Haar measure.

Next, we must transform two determinants in this formula,

$$\det(1+iA) = \det\bigl(1-1+2(1+U)^{-1}\bigr) = 2^n\det(1+U)^{-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\det\left(1+i\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ B^* & M\end{pmatrix}\right) = \det\begin{pmatrix}1+iA & iB\\ iB^* & 1+iM\end{pmatrix} = \\ = \det\begin{pmatrix}2(1+U)^{-1} & 2iC(1+T)^{-1}\\ 2i(1+T^{-1})C^* & 1+(T+1)^{-1}(T-1)\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

The right lower block equals to $2T(1+T)^{-1} = 2(1+T^{-1})^{-1}$, therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}2^{m+n}\det\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & (1+T^{-1})^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\det\begin{pmatrix}(1+U)^{-1} & iC\\ iC^* & 1+T\end{pmatrix}\det\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & (1+T)^{-1}\end{pmatrix} = \\ = 2^{m+n}\prod_{k=1}^{m}|1+t_k|^{-2}\cdot\det(1+U)^{-1}\det\bigl(1+T+C^*(1+U)C\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

In the last pass, we applied the formula for a determinant of a block matrix,

$$\det\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} = \det a\,\det(d-ca^{-1}b). \quad (2.17)$$

Collecting all the formulas together, we come to Theorem 1.2. □

Lemma 1.1 does not need a separate proof, since our step-by-step calculation was based on passing from one parametrization of double coset space to another.

References

[1] Bart H., Gohberg I., Kaashoek M.A. *Minimal Factorization of Matrix and Operator Functions*. Birkhäuser, 1979.

[2] Brodskii, M. S. *Unitary operator colligations and their characteristic functions.* Russian Mathematical Surveys, 1978, 33:4, 159-191

[3] Dym H. *Linear algebra in action,* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007

[4] Faraut, J. *Analysis on Lie groups. An introduction.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[5] Garnett, John B. *Bounded analytic functions.*. Revised first edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236. Springer, New York, 2007.

[6] Helgason, S. *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces.* Academic Press, New York-London 1962.

[7] Hough, J. B.; Krishnapur, M.; Peres, Y.; Virág, B. *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[8] Hua Loo Keng, *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains*, Chinese, 1958; Russian transl. Inostrannaya literaturs, 1959; English: Transl. Math. Monogr., 75, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1963

[9] Katsnelson, V. *A generic Schur function is an inner one.* in *Interpolation theory, systems theory and related topics* (*Tel Aviv/Rehovot, 1999*), 243-286, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 134, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.

[10] Krishnapur, M. *From random matrices to random analytic functions.* Ann. Probab. 37 (2009), no. 1, 314-346.

[11] Neretin, Yu. A. *Categories of symmetries and infinite-dimensional groups.* Oxford University Press, New York, 1996

[12] Neretin, Yu. A. *Double cosets for* $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ *and outer automorphisms of free groups.* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2011, no. 9, 2047-2067.

[13] Neretin, Yu. A. *Multi-operator colligations and multivariate characteristic functions.* Anal. Math. Phys. 1 (2011), no. 2-3, 121-138.

[14] Neretin, Yu. A. *Sphericity and multiplication of double cosets for infinite-dimensional groups.* Functional Analysis and Its Applications, 2011, 45:3, 225-239

[15] Neretin, Yu. A. *Multiplication of conjugacy classes, colligations, and characteristic functions of matrix argument.* arXiv:1211.7091, 2012

[16] Neretin, Yu. A. *On p-adic colligations and 'rational maps' of Bruhat-Tits trees,* arXiv:1301.5453.

[17] Olshanski, G. I. *Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs* (G, K) *and the formalism of R. Howe.* in *Representation of Lie groups and related topics*, 269-463, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1990.

[18] Paley, R. E. A. C.; Wiener, N. *Fourier transforms in the complex domain.* American Mathematical Society, 1934.

[19] Peres, Y.; Virág, B. *Zeros of the i.i.d. Gaussian power series: a conformally invariant determinantal process.* Acta Math. 194 (2005), no. 1, 1-35

[20] V.P. Potapov. *The multiplicative structure of J-contractive matrix-functions.* Trudy Moskovsk. Mat. Obs., 4:125236, 1955. English translation in: American mathematical society translations (2), vol. 15, p. 131243 (1960).

[21] Sodin, M. *Zeroes of Gaussian analytic functions.* European Congress of Mathematics, 445-458, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005.

[22] Sodin, M.; Tsirelson, B. *Random complex zeroes. I. Asymptotic normality.* Israel J. Math. 144 (2004), 125-149.

[23] Wigner, E. P. *On the connection between the distribution of poles and residues for an R function and its invariant derivative.* Ann. of Math. (2) 55, (1952). 7-18

Math. Dept., University of Vienna;
ITEP, Moscow
MechMath, Moscow State University
IITP, Moscow
neretin(at)mccme.ru
URL:http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/∼neretin/

Key words: inner functions, characteristic functions, Haar measure, Cayley transform, random functions
UDC 512.546.32, 517.547.5, 517.548.5
MSC 47A48, 28C10, 20E45